410-716-2610 T-090 P.008/009 F-032
Ampt. to Fillal Olf. Act. of Feb. 43, 2003
B&D No. TN-09409

UTILITY PATENT

**REMARKS** 

Re-examination and reconsideration of the subject application in view of the remarks which

follow and the attached terminal disclaimer are respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 5-10, and 12-18 under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11, and 12 of U.S.

Patent Application No. 10/277,474 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,914,930 ("Raskin et al.")) in view of

U.S. Patent No. 6,459,483 ("Shafer et al.") and U.S. Patent No. 4,910,717 ("Terry"). The Examiner

objected to claim 12 under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 5 of U.S. Patent

Application No. 10/277,474 (now Raskin et al.)). For the following reasons, the obviousness-type

double patenting rejection and the claim objection should be withdrawn.

While the applicants do not respectfully agree with the Examiner's conclusions, in order to

expedite the prosecution of this application, submitted herewith is a terminal disclaimer in view of

the Raskin et al. patent. Accordingly, withdrawal of the double patenting rejection in view of

Raskin et al. and the other combined references, as well as the withdrawal of the claim objection are

respectfully requested.

The Examiner also rejected claims 1, 5-10, and 12-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Raskin et al. in view of Shafer et al. Furthermore, the Examiner rejected claims

8 and 9 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raskin et al. in view of Shafer et al. and

further in view of Terry.

For the following reasons, these rejections are respectfully traversed. In particular, Raskin

et al. does not constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as the conditions of 35 U.S.C. §

103(c) apply. Firstly, it is respectfully submitted that Raskin et al. is only available as prior art

Page 2 of 3

PAGE 8/9 \* RCVD AT 10/21/2005 1:16:14 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:410 716 2610 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-50

UTILITY PATENT

B&D No. TN-09409

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f), and/or (g) with respect to the subject continuation-in-part application

as Raskin et al. is to another and was filed before the subject continuation-in-part application.

Secondly, it is respectfully submitted that the subject application and Raskin et al. were, at the

time the invention of the subject application was made, owned by Black & Decker, Inc.

Therefore, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 103(c)(1), Raskin et al. is disqualified from being used

in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) against the claims of the subject application. Withdrawal of

all rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in view of Raskin et al. and the other combined references are

respectfully requested.

Based on the foregoing, all the claims are patentable and the application is believed to be in

condition for formal allowance. Reconsideration of the application and allowance of claims 1, 5-

10, and 12-18 are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any fees due in processing this

response or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2548.

Respectfully submitted.

Dated: 2( OUTOBER ZOOS

Attorney for Applicants

(410) 716-2706